

Werk

Titel: Mathematische Annalen

Verlag: Springer

Jahr: 1989

Kollektion: Mathematica

Werk Id: PPN235181684_0283

PURL: http://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?PID=PPN235181684_0283 | LOG_0076

Terms and Conditions

The Goettingen State and University Library provides access to digitized documents strictly for noncommercial educational, research and private purposes and makes no warranty with regard to their use for other purposes. Some of our collections are protected by copyright. Publication and/or broadcast in any form (including electronic) requires prior written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library.

Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms and Conditions. With the usage of the library's online system to access or download a digitized document you accept the Terms and Conditions.

Reproductions of material on the web site may not be made for or donated to other repositories, nor may be further reproduced without written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library.

For reproduction requests and permissions, please contact us. If citing materials, please give proper attribution of the source.

Contact

Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen
Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen
Germany
Email: gdz@sub.uni-goettingen.de

hence

$$u_0^\delta \leq \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{a \in \mathcal{A}} E \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \{f(X_t) + c|a(X_t)|^q\} dt$$

and letting δ go to 0, the resulting inequality combined with (97) yields (94). This also shows the optimality of a_0 and the uniqueness is easy to prove as in the preceding sections.

By the same truncation argument as in the proof of Theorem VII.2, one deduces the

Theorem VII.5. *Let $p > 2$, let $f \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$ be bounded from below and satisfy (40). We denote by (v, u_0) the solutions given by Theorem VI.2. Then, the identities (93)–(94) still hold.*

Appendix: On Some Local Gradient Bounds

We want to show here some local gradient bounds for solutions of

$$-\varepsilon \Delta u + |\nabla u|^p + \lambda u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u \in W^{2,r}_{loc}(\Omega) \quad (\forall r < \infty), \tag{A.1}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, 1 < p < \infty$, and $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ or even $f \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ and Ω is a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^n . These bounds are obtained by the method introduced in [16, 19]. For related local bounds concerning different equations, we refer to Bombieri et al. [4], Ladyzhenskaya and Ural'tseva [13], Simon [26–28]. Our main result is the

Theorem A.1. *For any $\delta > 0$, we set $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega / \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta\}$.*

1) *Let $f \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, then we have for all $\delta > 0$*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq C_\delta \quad \text{if } x \in \Omega_\delta, \tag{A.2}$$

where C_δ depends only on bounds on $|\nabla f|$, lower bounds on $\lambda u - f, \delta$, and p .

2) *Let $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then we have for all $r < \infty, \delta > 0$*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^r(\Omega_\delta)} \leq C_\delta, \tag{A.3}$$

where C_δ depends only on bounds on f , lower bounds on $f - \lambda u, \delta, p$, and r .

Proof. We begin with case 1) i.e. when $f \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. In both cases, we will ignore the fact that u is not assumed to be smooth and we will thus skip the tedious approximation argument required to make the proof below complete. Then, let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ to be determined later on and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega), 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ in $\Omega, \varphi \equiv 1$ on Ω_δ , be such that

$$|\Delta \varphi| \leq C\varphi^\theta, \quad |\nabla \varphi|^2 \leq C\varphi^{1+\theta} \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for some C (depending only on δ, θ).

We next consider $w = |\nabla u|^2$ and we compute easily on $\text{Supp } \varphi$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & -\varepsilon \Delta(\varphi w) + p|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\varphi w) + 2\lambda \varphi w + 2\varepsilon \varphi |D^2 u|^2 + 2\varepsilon \frac{\nabla \varphi}{\varphi} \cdot \nabla(\varphi w) \\ & = 2\varphi \nabla f \cdot \nabla u + p|\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi) w - \varepsilon (\nabla \varphi) w + 2\varepsilon \frac{|\nabla \varphi|^2}{\varphi} w. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{A.4}$$

Then, let $x_0 \in \Omega$ be a maximum point of φw : we may assume that $x_0 \in \text{Supp } \varphi$ and by the classical maximum principle we deduce from (A.4) the following inequality where all functions are taken at x_0

$$2\varepsilon\varphi|D^2u|^2 \leq C\varphi w^{1/2} + C\varphi^\theta w^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + C\varepsilon\varphi^\theta w. \tag{A.5}$$

Now, from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (A.1)

$$|D^2u|^2 \geq \frac{1}{N} (\Delta u)^2 \geq \frac{1}{N\varepsilon^2} (|\nabla u|^p + \lambda u - f)^2 \geq \frac{1}{N\varepsilon^2} (|\nabla u|^p - C)^2$$

and this combined with (A.5) yields

$$\varphi w^p \leq C + C\varepsilon\varphi w^{1/2} + C\varepsilon\varphi^\theta w^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + C\varepsilon^2\varphi^\theta w. \tag{A.6}$$

Now, choosing $\theta \geq \frac{3-p}{2}$, we deduce easily

$$\max_{\Omega} \varphi w = \varphi w(x_0) \leq C.$$

In case 2), i.e. when $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ we use integral estimates as follows: let $m \geq 1$, we multiply (A.4) by $(\varphi w)^m$ and we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon m \int |\nabla(\varphi w)|^2 (\varphi w)^{m-1} dx + p \int |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\varphi w) (\varphi w)^m dx \\ & + \varepsilon \int \varphi |D^2u|^2 (\varphi w)^m dx + \frac{1}{N\varepsilon} \int \varphi (|\nabla u|^p - C)^2 \varphi^m w^m dx \\ & + 2\varepsilon \int \varphi^{m-1} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla(\varphi^{m+1} w^{m+1}) (m+1)^{-1} dx \\ & \leq 2 \int \varphi^{m+1} w^m \nabla f \cdot \nabla u dx + C_p \int w^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \varphi^{\theta m} w^m dx + C\varepsilon \int \varphi^{m+\theta} w^m dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now want to bound the following terms

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \int \varphi^{m+1} w^m \nabla f \cdot \nabla u dx \leq 2C \int \varphi^{m+1} w^m |D^2u| dx + m \int \varphi |\nabla(\varphi w)| (\varphi w)^{m+1} \\ & \quad \times |\nabla u| dx + C \int \varphi^{m+\theta} w^{m+1/2} dx \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int \varphi^{m+1} w^m |D^2u|^2 dx + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int \varphi^{m+1} w^m dx + \varepsilon \frac{m}{2} \int |\nabla(\varphi w)|^2 (\varphi w)^{m-1} dx \\ & \quad + C \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \int \varphi^{m+1} w^m dx + C \int \varphi^{m+\theta} w^{m+1/2} dx; \\ & p \int |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\varphi w) (\varphi w)^m dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon m}{2} \int |\nabla(\varphi w)|^2 (\varphi w)^{m-1} dx \\ & \quad + \frac{p^2}{\varepsilon m} \int \varphi^{m+1} w^{m+p} dx; \\ & 2\varepsilon(m+1)^{-1} \int \varphi^{-1} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla(\varphi^{m+1} w^{m+1}) dx \leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{m+1} \int \varphi^{m+\theta} w^{m+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

And collecting all these bounds, we finally deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N\varepsilon} \int \varphi(|\nabla u|^p - C)^+ \varphi^m w^m dx &\leq C_p \int w^{m + \frac{p+1}{2}} \varphi^{m+\theta} dx + C\varepsilon \int \varphi^{m+\theta} w^m dx \\ &+ C \int \varphi^{m+\theta} w^{m+1/2} dx + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int \varphi^{m+1} w^m dx + C \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \int \varphi^{m+1} w^m dx \\ &+ \frac{C\varepsilon}{m+1} \int \varphi^{m+\theta} w^{m+1} dx + \frac{p^2}{\varepsilon m} \int \varphi^{m+1} w^{m+p} dx. \end{aligned}$$

To get rid of the last term, we choose m in $\left] \frac{p^2}{N}, \infty \right[$ and we find

$$\int \varphi^{m+1} w^{m+p} dx \leq C + C \int w^{m + \frac{p+1}{2}} \varphi^{m+\theta} dx.$$

And we conclude choosing $\theta \geq (m+p)^{-1} \{(p+1)/2 + m(3-p)/2\}$.

Acknowledgement. We wish to thank the referee for useful comments and suggestions.

References

1. Amann, H., Crandall, M.G.: On some existence theorems for semilinear elliptic equations. *Ind. Univ. Math. J.* **27**, 779–790 (1978)
2. Bensoussan, A., Lions, J.L.: Applications des inéquations variationnelles en contrôle stochastique. Paris: Dunod 1978
3. Bensoussan, A., Lions, J.L.: Contrôle impulsionnel et inéquations quasi-variationnelles. Paris: Dunod 1982
4. Bombieri, E., De Giorgi, E., Miranda, M.: Una maggiorazione a priori relativa alle ipersuperfici minimali non parametriche. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **32**, 255–267 (1969)
5. Bony, J.M.: Principe du maximum dans les espaces de Sobolev. *Cr. Acad. Sci. Paris* **265**, 233–236 (1967)
6. Brézis, H.: Semilinear equations in \mathbb{R}^n without condition at infinity. *Appl. Math. Opt.* **12**, 271–282 (1984)
7. Crandall, M.G., Lions, P.L.: Remarks on unbounded viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *Ill. J. Math.* **31**, 665–688 (1987)
8. Fleming, W.H., Rishel, R.: Deterministic and stochastic optimal control. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1975
9. Holland, C.J.: A new energy characterization of the smallest eigenvalue of the Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **30**, 755–765 (1977)
10. Holland, C.J.: A minimum principle for the principal eigenvalue for second-order linear elliptic equations with natural boundary conditions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31**, 509–519 (1978)
11. Krylov, N.V.: Controlled diffusion processes. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1980
12. Krylov, N.V.: Nonlinear second-order elliptic and parabolic equations. Moscou: Nauk 1985 (in Russian)
13. Ladyshenskaya, O., Ural'tseva, N.: Local estimates for gradients of solutions of nonuniformly elliptic and parabolic equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **23**, 677–703 (1970)
14. Laetsch, T.: On the number of solutions of boundary value problems with convex nonlinearities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **35**, 389–404 (1971)
15. Lasry, J.M., Lions, P.L.: In preparation
16. Lions, P.L.: Résolution de problèmes elliptiques quasilineaires. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **74**, 336–353 (1980)

17. Lions, P.L.: On the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations. *Acta Applicandae* **1**, 17–41 (1983)
18. Lions, P.L.: Some recent results in the optimal control of diffusion processes. In: *Stochastic Analysis, Proceedings in the Taniguchi International Symposium on Stochastic Analysis, Katata, Kyoto (1982)*. Tokyo: Kinokuniya 1984
19. Lions, P.L.: Quelques remarques sur les problèmes elliptiques quasilinéaires du second ordre. *J. Anal. Math.* **45**, 234–254 (1985)
20. Lions, P.L.: Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations and boundary conditions. Preprint
21. Lions, P.L.: *Generalized solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations*. London: Pitman 1982
22. Lions, P.L.: Neumann type boundary conditions for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *Duke Math. J.* **52**, 793–820 (1985)
23. Lions, P.L.: A remark on Bony maximum principle. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **88**, 503–508 (1983)
24. Lions, P.L., Trudinger, N.S.: Linear oblique derivative problems for the uniformly elliptic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations. *Math. Z.* **191**, 4–15 (1985)
25. Lions, P.L., Trudinger, N.S.: In preparation
26. Simon, L.: Interior gradient bounds for nonuniformly elliptic equations. *Ind. Univ. Math. J.* **25**, 821–855 (1976)
27. Simon, L.: Boundary regularity for solutions of the nonparametric least area problem. *Ann. Math.* **103**, 429–455 (1976)
28. Simon, L.: Global estimates of Hölder continuity for a class of divergence form equations. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **56**, 223–272 (1974)
29. Soner, M.H.: Optimal control with state-space constraints. I. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* (1986)
30. Urbas, J.I.E.: Elliptic equations of Monge-Ampère type. Thesis, Univ. of Canberra, Australia, 1984

Received March 3, 1988