

Werk

Titel: Inventiones Mathematicae

Verlag: Springer

Jahr: 1981

Kollektion: Mathematica

Werk Id: PPN356556735_0064

PURL: http://resolver.sub.uni-goettingen.de/purl?PID=PPN356556735_0064 | LOG_0013

Terms and Conditions

The Goettingen State and University Library provides access to digitized documents strictly for noncommercial educational, research and private purposes and makes no warranty with regard to their use for other purposes. Some of our collections are protected by copyright. Publication and/or broadcast in any form (including electronic) requires prior written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library.

Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms and Conditions. With the usage of the library's online system to access or download a digitized document you accept the Terms and Conditions.

Reproductions of material on the web site may not be made for or donated to other repositories, nor may be further reproduced without written permission from the Goettingen State- and University Library.

For reproduction requests and permissions, please contact us. If citing materials, please give proper attribution of the source.

Contact

Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek Göttingen
Georg-August-Universität Göttingen
Platz der Göttinger Sieben 1
37073 Göttingen
Germany
Email: gdz@sub.uni-goettingen.de

Cancellation Problem of Complete Varieties

Takao Fujita

Department of Mathematics, College of General Education, University of Tokyo,
Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153, Japan

We consider the following problem: Let M , V and W be complete varieties such that $M \times V \cong M \times W$. Then $V \cong W$?

We will see that the obstruction is caused by the Picard schemes of them.

Note. In the following, *variety* means an irreducible reduced proper k -scheme where k is a fixed field of any characteristic.

Definition 1. Let $P(X)$ denote the Picard scheme of a variety X parametrizing all the invertible sheaves on X algebraically equivalent to zero. For any variety S , we denote by $q_S(X)$ the dimension of the closed group subscheme of $P(X)$ generated by the images of all the morphisms $\varphi: S \rightarrow P(X)$ such that $\varphi(S) \ni 0$, the point on $P(X)$ corresponding to \mathcal{O}_X .

Obviously we have the following

Proposition 2. a) $q_S(X \times Y) = q_S(X) + q_S(Y)$. b) $q_S(X) \leq q_T(X)$ if there is a surjective morphism $T \rightarrow S$.

Proposition 3. Let X and Y be varieties. Then the following conditions are equivalent to each other: a) $q_X(Y) = 0$. a') $q_Y(X) = 0$. b) For any invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on $X \times Y$, there are $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ such that $\mathcal{L} = p_1^* \mathcal{A} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{B}$, where $p_1: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_2: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are projections.

Proof is easy.

Definition 4. X and Y are said to be *Picard independent* if the conditions in Proposition 3 are satisfied.

Proposition 5. Let X , Y be Picard independent varieties and let $f: X \times Y \rightarrow M$ be a morphism onto a projective variety M such that $f_* \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y} = \mathcal{O}_M$. Then there exist varieties S and T , an isomorphism $i: M \rightarrow S \times T$, morphisms $g: X \rightarrow S$ and $h: Y \rightarrow T$ such that $i \circ f = g \times h: X \times Y \rightarrow S \times T$.

Current Address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

This work was completed while the author was a Miller Fellow at the University of California, Berkeley, during the period from Sept. 1979 till Aug. 1981

Proof. Let H be a hyperplane section on $M \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Then $f^*|H| = |f^*H|$ since $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y} = \mathcal{O}_M$. So $X \times Y \rightarrow M \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ may be identified with the rational mapping ρ associated with the linear system $|f^*H|$. By assumption we have $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ such that $f^*H = p_1^*\mathcal{A} \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{B}$. Restricting to fibers of p_1 and p_2 , we infer that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are generated by global sections. Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^a$ and $\beta: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^b$ be the rational mappings (morphisms in this case) associated with \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . We have natural isomorphisms $H^0(M, H) \cong H^0(X \times Y, f^*H) \cong H^0(X \times Y, p_1^*\mathcal{A} \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{B}) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{A}) \otimes H^0(Y, \mathcal{B})$. This induces an imbedding $\sigma: \mathbb{P}^a \times \mathbb{P}^b \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ such that $\sigma(\alpha(x), \beta(y)) = \rho(x, y)$ for any $x \in X, y \in Y$. Letting $S = \text{Im}(\alpha)$ and $T = \text{Im}(\beta)$, we easily see that $M = \text{Im}(\rho) = S \times T$. Now our assertion is obvious.

Theorem 6. *Let M, V and W be varieties such that $M \times V \cong M \times W$. Suppose that M and V are Picard independent and that M is projective. Then $V \cong W$.*

Proof. We use the induction on $m = \dim M$. Let $f: M \times V \rightarrow M \times W$ be the given isomorphism and let $\pi_1: M \times V \rightarrow M, \pi_2: M \times V \rightarrow V, p_1: M \times W \rightarrow M$ and $p_2: M \times W \rightarrow W$ be projections. Applying Proposition 5 to $p_1 \circ f: M \times V \rightarrow M$, we obtain varieties S and T together with morphisms $g: M \rightarrow S, h: V \rightarrow T$ and $i: M \rightarrow S \times T$ such that $i \circ p_1 \circ f = g \circ h$ and i is an isomorphism. Consider first the case in which $\dim S = m$. Then $\dim T = 0$ and T is a point. So $p_1 \circ f = g \circ \pi_1: M \times V \rightarrow M (=S)$. For $0 \in M$, we have $W \cong p_1^{-1}(0) \cong f^{-1}(p_1^{-1}(0)) = (p_1 \circ f)^{-1}(0) = \pi_1^{-1}(g^{-1}(0))$, which is a union of fibers of π_1 , each of which is isomorphic to V . Hence $V \cong W$.

Second consider the case in which $0 < \dim S < m$. We have $q_T(S) \leq q_T(M) \leq q_V(M) = 0$ and $q_V(T) \leq q_V(M) = 0$. Hence $q_T(S \times V) = q_T(S) + q_T(V) = 0$. Therefore we can apply the induction hypothesis to $T \times (S \times V) \cong M \times V \cong M \times W \cong T \times (S \times W)$ to obtain $S \times V \cong S \times W$. Again by the induction hypothesis we infer $V \cong W$, since $q_V(S) \leq q_V(M) = 0$.

Thus we have reduced the problem to the case in which $\dim S = 0$. In other words, S is a point and $p_1 \circ f = h \circ \pi_2$ for some $h: V \rightarrow M$. Note that $q_M(W) = q_M(M \times W) - q_M(M) = q_M(M \times V) - q_M(M) = q_M(V) = 0$. Namely M and W are Picard independent. So, by the same argument as above, we reduce the problem to the case in which $\pi_1 \circ f^{-1} = j \circ p_2$ for some morphism $j: W \rightarrow M$. Now, for a simple point 0 on M , let $U = (h \circ \pi_2)^{-1}(0) \cap \pi_1^{-1}(0)$. Then $W \cong p_1^{-1}(0) \cong (p_1 \circ f)^{-1}(0) = (h \circ \pi_2)^{-1}(0) \cong M \times U$. Note that $U \cong f(U) = p_1^{-1}(0) \cap (j \circ p_2)^{-1}(0)$. So, similarly as above, we infer $V \cong M \times U$. Thus we prove $V \cong W$. q.e.d.

Corollary 7. *Let M, V and W be compact complex manifolds such that $M \times V \cong M \times W$. Suppose that M is projective and that $\text{Alb}(M) = 0$ or $\text{Alb}(V) = 0$. Then $V \cong W$.*

Indeed, the preceding arguments work also in the analytic category.

Remark 8. Cancellation is not always true in the category of abelian varieties (cf. [7]). But we have the following

Proposition 9. *Let M, V and W be abelian varieties such that $M \times V \sim M \times W$, where \sim denotes the isogeny equivalence. Then $V \sim W$.*

This follows easily from the observation below.

a) Any abelian variety is isogeneous to a product of simple abelian varieties.

b) $q_A(B)$ depends only on the isogeny classes of the abelian varieties A, B .

c) If A and B are simple abelian varieties, $q_A(B) > 0$ if and only if $A \sim B$, and $q_A(A) = \dim A$.

Problem 10. Write $X \sim Y$ in general if there exists a variety Z equipped with finite unramified morphisms $Z \rightarrow X$ and $Z \rightarrow Y$. Then, does $M \times V \sim M \times W$ imply $V \sim W$ for general varieties M, V and W ?

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to the referée, who pointed out many papers on the cancellation problem of which the author was unaware during the preparation of this note.

References

1. Brun, J.: On the cancellation problem for compact complex analytic manifolds, Proc. of Symposia in Pure Math. **30**, 245-247, (1976)
2. Brun, J.: Sur la simplification dans les isomorphismes analytiques, Ann. Sc. Ecole Norm. Sup., 4^{eme} serie **9**, 533-538 (1976)
3. Brun, J.: Sur la simplification par les variétés homogènes, Math. Ann. **230**, 175-182 (1977)
4. Iitaka, S., Fujita, T.: Cancellation theorem for algebraic varieties, J. Fac. Soc. Sci., Univ. of Tokyo, Sec. IA **24**, 123-127 (1977)
5. Mumford, D.: Abelian Varieties, London: Oxford Univ. Press 1970
6. Parigi, G.: La simplification par les variétés de Demazure, C.R. Acad. Sci. paris, **287**, Sér. A, 535-538 (1978)
7. Shioda, T.: Some remarks on abelian varieties, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. of Tokyo, **24**, 11-21 (1977)
8. Simis, A.: On the cancellation problem for projective varieties, Comm. Algebra, **2**, 535-557 (1974)

Received December 22, 1980

